

Evaluation of the Bio-efficacy of Topramezone Against Weed Control of Maize (*Zea mays* L.)

D. K. Tiwari, V.K. Paradkar, C. L. Gour and Rajiv Dubey

RVSKVV, Krishi Vigyan Kendra (M.P.) India
E-mail: tiwaridk78@gmail.com, tiwaridkt78@rediffmail.com

Abstract

The field experiments were conducted under rainfed conditions at experimental farm, Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Chandangaon, Chhindwara, Madhya Pradesh. The soil of the experimental field was clay loam. The experimental field was infested with the weed flora viz. *Echinochloa colonum* (L.) Link, *Echinochloa crusgalli*, *Digitaria adscendens*, *Dinebra Arabica*, *Elusine indica* Gaertn., *Sateria glauca*, *Cynodon dactylon* among grasses. *Ageratum conyzoides* (L.), *Commelina benghalensis* (L.), *Euphorbia geniculata* Forsk, *Euphorbia hirta*, *Achypha indica*, *Amaranthus viridis*, *Commelina communis*, *Corchorus* spp., *Phyllanthus niruri* among broad leaf weeds and *Cyperus rotundus* L. among sedges. Dry weight of weeds (g) was significantly superior over all the treatments as compared to weedy check in both years of the investigation. Higher weed dry weight was recorded under weedy check. All the topramezone treatments were found superior over weedy check. Number of cob/plant were non-significant in all treatments over weedy check. Cob length (cm) and cob girth (cm) over weedy check were significantly superior. All the weed control measures resulted in significantly higher grain yield than weedy check. Post emergence herbicide topramezone 20.1 and 25.2 g a. i./ha produced higher yield than lowest dose 13.4 g a. i./ha. Same trend was seen in topramezone with adjuvant.

Key words: Weed flora, weed density, post-emergence, maize, yield

Introduction

Due to heavy weed infestation during kharif season maize yields are lowering down. The lower yields levels are due to weed infestation owing to congenial environmental conditions for luxurious weed growth coupled with wider row planting and slow growth at initial stage. Yield losses due to weeds ranges between 28-93 percent, depending on the weed flora and their intensity, nature and duration of crop-weed competition^[2]. Atrazine, Pendimethalin and Alachlor are widely used for control of weeds in maize. However, these herbicides used as pre-emergence but post-emergence herbicides are not available in the market as per need/requirement. Hence newer herbicide Topramezone as for post-emergence testing is proposed in maize crop. However, atrazine did not provide effective control of

Echinochloa spp., *Digitaria sanguinalis*, *Panicum* spp. and *setaria* spp.^[5], (Paradkar and Sharma 1993). Uncontrolled group of weed flora present in the field takes over others and may after severe competition to crop. Moreover, continuous use of single herbicide leads to evolution of herbicide resistant weed species and shift in weed flora^[5,6]. Under such circumstances to for effective control of weeds post-emergence application of herbicides is one of the best options. Keeping this fact in view post emergence herbicide i.e. Topramizone was proposed to evaluate for its bio-efficacy against controlling weed of maize during kharif season.

Materials and Methods

The field experiments were conducted under rainfed conditions during kharif season

of 2009 and 2010 at experimental farm, Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Chandangaon, Chhindwara, Madhya Pradesh. The soil of the experimental field was clay loam in texture neutral in reaction (pH 7.2), with normal (EC 0.32 ds/m). The soil was analyzing low in available nitrogen (214 kg/ha), medium in available phosphorus (17.2 kg/ha) and high in available potassium (352 kg/ha) contents. Ten treatments, comprising the post-emergence application of Topramezone with four different rates (13.4, 20.1, 25.2 and 33.6) and four same rates with adjuvant, atrazine 50 percent WP 1000 g plus weedy check were tested in randomized block design with three replications. Maize variety cv. HQPM-1 was sown on 24 June 2009 and 26 June 2010 in rows 60 cm apart, using 16 kg/ha seeds. Crop was fertilized with 100 kg N + 50 kg P₂O₅ + 60 kg K₂O/ha. Two third quantity of N along with full quantity of phosphorus and potassium was applied as basal while 1/3 N was top dressed 30 DAS. The NP and K nutrient was supplied through urea, single

super phosphate and muriate of potash respectively. Thinning was done 15 DAS to maintain plant to plant distance of 25 cm. Topramezone was sprayed 18 DAS and application of atrazine as pre-emergence just after to sowing of crop to next day's sowing using flat fan nozzle during both the years of investigations. The quadrates of 0.5m x 0.5m size were placed randomly in each plot at 30, 45 and 60 DAS and weeds in the quadrates were removed. And after removal the weeds more kept for drying in oven (70^C +10^C for 72 hours.) dry weight of weeds was recorded and reported as per square meter. Weed control efficiency (WCE) was also calculated. The climate of the region is subtropical characterized by summers with well distributed rainfall and congenial to maize crop. The total rainfall of 868.0 and 883.0 mm in 53 & 42 rainy days during 2009 and 2010 respectively. The recommended agronomic packages of practices were adopted for raising the crops.

Results and Discussion

Weed flora

The experimental field was infested with the weed flora viz. *Echinochloa colonum* (L.) link, *Echinochloa crusgalli*, *Digetaria adsentence*, *Dinebra Arabica*, *Elusine indica* garetn., *Sateria gluaca*, *Cynodon dectylon* among grasses. *Ageratum conyzoides* (L.), *Commelina benghalensis* (L.), *Euphorbia geniculata* forsk, *Euphorbia hirta*, *Aclypha indica*, *Amarenthus viridis*, *Commelina communis*, *corcorus* spp., *phylenthus niruri* among broad leaf weeds and *cyperus rotundus* L. among sedges^[5].

Effect on weeds

All the weed control treatments were significantly affecting in reducing the weed density (Table) as compared to weedy check in both the years except 30 DAS first year. Post

emergence herbicide topramezone lower dose was less effective against weed in comparison to its higher dose of topramezone. Topramezone with adjuvant same trend was obtained of weed control and atrazine (pre-emergence) herbicide was found effective significantly in controlling against weed (30 DAS) during 2009 as compared to rest of the treatments. At 45 DAS and 60 DAS all the doses topramezone at all rates of its application significantly controlled weed during both the years as compared to weedy check. All the doses of topramezone were significantly effective against weed (45 DAS & 60 DAS) as compared to atrazine. Atrazine was found effective in checking weeds against weed check in both the years of investigation. Dry weight of weeds (g) was significantly

superior over all the treatments as compare to weedy check in both years of the investigation. All the treatments of topramezone proved significantly superior compare to atrazine. Higher weed dry weight was recorded under weedy check. All the topramezone treatments were found superior over weedy check. But

higher dose of topramezone (33.6 g a. i./ha) was also found significantly superior over lower dose of topramezone (13.4 g a. i./ha). Topramezone + Adjuvant treatments all four doses were being at par with each other but they were significantly superior over atrazine in both the years^[4,5,6].

Table 1 Effect of application topramezone rate with Adjuvant on weed density, weeds dry wt. and weed control efficiency

Treatment	Rate a. i. (g/ha)	Weed density (m ²)						Dry wt. of weeds (g)		WCE (%)		
		30, DAS		45, DAS		60, DAS		2009	2010	2009	2010	
		2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010					
Topramezone 336g/L SC	13.4	7.0	6.2	4.3	6.2	5.3	6.6	36.0	36.8	84.1	83.6	
Topramezone 336g/L SC	20.1	5.7	5.8	2.7	3.5	4.3	5.9	32.2	33.3	85.8	85.2	
Topramezone 336g/L SC	25.2	6.3	4.1	2.3	3.4	4.7	5.6	25.6	27.0	88.7	88.0	
Topramezone 336g/L SC	33.6	5.0	4.2	3.3	3.6	3.7	5.1	18.8	20.1	91.7	91.0	
Topramezone 336g/L SC + MSO Adjuvant	13.4	5.3	6.8	3.7	3.1	4.3	5.3	29.9	30.5	86.8	86.4	
Topramezone 336g/L SC + MSO Adjuvant	20.1	5.1	2.5	3.3	3.2	3.3	4.5	29.8	30.2	86.9	86.5	
Topramezone 336g/L SC + MSO Adjuvant	25.2	5.0	2.1	4.7	3.6	5.3	5.2	31.4	31.2	86.2	86.1	
Topramezone 336g/L SC + MSO Adjuvant	33.6	3.0	6.8	3.3	2.9	3.3	2.9	25.2	25.0	88.9	88.9	
Atrazine 50 % WP	1000.0	0	3.3	6.1	5.3	6.8	11.7	7.6	53.9	51.8	76.3	76.9
Weedy Check	0.0	82.7	78.5	82.7	82.3	90.7	84.9	227.0	224.3	0.0	0.0	
CD 5 %	--	4.6	3.8	4.4	3.1	5.2	4.7	3.7	2.5	--	--	

Effect on crops

All the post emergence (topramezone) and pre emergence (atrazine) herbicide recorded significantly higher plant height over weedy check (Table 2). Number of cob/plant were non significant in all treatments over weedy check. Cob length (cm) and cob girth (cm) over weedy check were significantly superior. Significantly lowest 100 grain weight was recorded under weedy check. However, 100 grain weight did not differ significantly among themselves in all other weed control

treatments. All the weed control measures resulted in significantly higher grain yield than weedy check. Post emergence herbicide topramezone 20.1 & 25.2 g a.i./ha produce higher yield than lowest dose 13.4 g a.i. /ha same trend was seen in topramezone with adjuvant. All topramezone doses were significantly produced higher yield as compare to pre emergence application of atrazine. Lower yields were recorded in weedy check^[1, 2, 3].

Table 2 Effect of application topramezone rate with Adjuvant on yield and yield attributing characters

Treatment	Plant height (cm)		No of cob/plant		cob length (cm)		cob girth (cm)		100 grain weight (g)		Grain yield (kg/ha)	
	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010
Topramezone 336g/L SC	165	164	1.0	1.0	15.2	15.0	10.2	10.6	25.04	25.26	4490	4851
Topramezone 336g/L SC	167	166	1.0	1.0	14.6	15.2	10.8	11.0	25.42	25.94	4640	4996
Topramezone 336g/L SC	170	174	1.1	1.0	14.6	14.8	11.4	11.8	25.82	26.08	4618	5011
Topramezone 336g/L SC	171	173	1.1	1.1	14.2	14.6	11.6	11.8	26.42	26.66	4560	4959
Topramezone 336g/L SC + MSO Adjuvant	159	165	1.0	1.0	15.6	15.4	10.8	11.0	25.22	25.48	4510	4969
Topramezone 336g/L SC + MSO Adjuvant	167	165	1.1	1.1	14.8	15.2	11.4	11.8	25.56	25.82	4592	5122
Topramezone 336g/L SC + MSO Adjuvant	158	168	1.1	1.1	14.6	15.2	12.0	12.2	26.06	26.16	4614	5118
Topramezone 336g/L SC + MSO Adjuvant	161	168	1.1	1.0	15.2	15.6	11.2	10.6	26.52	26.94	4566	5092
Atrazine 50 % WP	157	169	1.0	1.0	14.4	15.2	10.4	10.8	24.24	24.78	4433	4788
Weedy Check	153	157	0.7	0.7	13.4	13.6	10.0	10.4	21.02	22.54	2929	3129
CD 5 %	9.1	7.7	NS	NS	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.6	1.62	1.56	253	344

References

1. Arvadiya, L.K., Raj, V.C., Patel, T.O., Arvadiya, M.K. and Patel, A.M. (2012). Effect of plant population and weed management practices on productivity of sweet corn. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 44 (3): 167-171.
2. Deshmukh, L.S., Jathure, R.S. and Raskar, S.K. (2008). Studies on nutrient and weed management in kharif maize under rainfed conditions. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 40 (1&2): 87-89.
3. Dwivedi, S.K., Shrivastva, G.K., Singh, A.P. and Lakpale, R. (2012). Weed and crop productivity of maize + black gram intercropping system in Chhattisgarh plains. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 44 (1) : 26-29.
4. Pankaj Chopra and Angiras, N.N. (2008). Influence of tillage and weed control methods, yield and yield attributes of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 40 (1&2): 47-50.
5. Paradkar, V.K. and Sharma, R.K. (1993). Integrated weed management in maize. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 25: 81-83.
6. Walia, U.S., Surgit Singh and Buta Singh (2007). Integrated control of hardy weeds in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 39 (1&2): 17-20.