

## Effect of Sulphur and Phosphorus Application on the Uptake of N, P and S by Grain and Straw of Clusterbean Under Dry Land Conditions

S. K. Singh and S.P.S Chauhan

Department of Agronomy  
R.B.S. College, Bichpuri, Agra-283105 (U.P.)

### Abstract

Results of this study revealed that uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur was appreciably higher with the variety HGS-365 by grain, straw and total produce. The uptake of N,P and S were recorded higher with the application of 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> closely followed by 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, while uptake values of these nutrients increased significantly with every increase in the rate of phosphorus application upto 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Key words:** Clusterbean, uptake, nitrogen, phosphorus, sulphur, grain, straw.

### Introduction

Sulphur is needed by crops in large amount often comparable to quantities of phosphorus and in crops even more than phosphorus. Legumes and oil seeds need comparatively higher amount than other crops. Legumes respond to sulphur and phosphorus application to sandy to sandy loam soils of North-western India<sup>[4,5]</sup>. In clusterbean stem and leaves the

### Material and Methods

Field experiments were conducted during kharif seasons of 2003 and 2004 at Agricultural Research farm of R.B.S. College, Bichpuri Agra. The experimental soil had pH 8.10, available N, 186.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 28.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available K<sub>2</sub>O 318.56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and available S 9.25 ppm and sandy loam soil. The experimental treatments were three varieties of clusterbean as RGC-1003(V<sub>1</sub>), HGS-563 (V<sub>2</sub>), and HGS-365 (V<sub>3</sub>), three

### Results and Discussion

The data presented in Table 1 exhibited that variety HGS-365 had appreciably more uptake of nitrogen in grain and straw as well as in total produce than HGS-563 and RGC-1003 except in straw during 2004. Variety HGS-563 also

concentration and uptake of N.P.K. and S increased with the application of sulphur and phosphorus enriched fertilizers. Hence this study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of sulphur and phosphorus application on the nutrient uptake by clusterbean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*) under Dryland conditions of Agra Region.

levels of sulphur as 0 (S<sub>1</sub>), 30 (S<sub>2</sub>) and 60 (S<sub>3</sub>) kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>.and four levels of phosphorus as 0 (P<sub>0</sub>), 20 (P<sub>1</sub>), 40 (P<sub>2</sub>) and 60 (P<sub>3</sub>) kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. These treatments were replicated three times in split-plot design. Grain and straw yield of clusterbean were recorded. The plant material was analysed for N, P and S contents using standard methods and uptake values were estimated and analysed statistically.

had significantly higher uptake of nitrogen by grain than RGC-1003 during 2004. The application of 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in significantly higher uptake of nitrogen by grain and straw and by total produce than control. The uptake of nitrogen

appreciably increased with every increase in the rate of phosphorus application upto 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> by grain and total produce. The nitrogen uptake is associated with the metabolic activities of the plants with the concentration and distribution of ions in the external medium as well as in the

plants tissues, the cation exchange capacity of roots and relative mobility of the hydrated ion. Higher grain and straw yield with nutrients application affected nutrient contents are responsible for variation in uptake values of nitrogen<sup>[3,5,8]</sup>.

**Table 1 Uptake of nitrogen (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in grain and straw and in total produce as influenced by varieties and levels of sulphur and phosphorus fertilization**

Treatments	Uptake of nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
	2003			2004		
	Grain	Straw	Total	Grain	Straw	Total
<b>Varieties</b>						
RGC-1003	39.13	22.84	61.97	50.86	29.06	79.92
HGS-563	42.38	22.97	65.35	54.95	30.21	85.16
HGS-365	45.63	24.46	70.09	58.31	31.10	89.41
SEm ±	0.78	0.43	1.32	0.91	0.59	1.38
CD (P=0.05)	2.33	1.27	3.94	2.73	1.76	4.12
<b>Levels of sulphur (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>						
0	39.05	21.67	60.72	50.76	27.85	78.61
30	44.74	24.41	69.13	57.51	31.21	88.72
60	43.37	24.18	67.55	55.84	31.32	87.16
SEm ±	0.78	0.43	1.32	0.91	0.59	1.38
CD (P=0.05)	2.33	1.27	3.94	2.73	1.76	4.12
<b>Levels of phosphorus (kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>						
0	34.53	19.17	53.70	45.87	25.95	71.82
20	41.53	22.35	63.88	53.65	29.28	82.93
40	47.70	25.52	73.22	60.51	31.87	92.38
60	45.77	26.65	72.42	58.79	33.40	92.19
SEm ±	0.56	0.39	0.99	0.69	0.49	1.21
CD (P=0.05)	1.60	1.10	2.80	1.96	1.39	3.42

Clusterbean variety HGS-365 had remarkable higher uptake of phosphorus by grain, straw and total produce (Table 2). The application of sulphur at 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> had appreciably higher uptake of phosphorus in grain and straw as well as in total produce than control. These results confirm the findings of many others [3,5].

The uptake of phosphorus significantly increased with every increase in the rate of phosphorus application upto 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> in grain, straw and total produce. Phosphorus content, grain and straw production are responsible for such effects as also reported earlier<sup>[1,3,8]</sup>.

**Table 2 Uptake of phosphorus ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) in grain and straw and in total produce as influenced by varieties and levels of sulphur and phosphorus fertilization**

Treatments	Phosphorus uptake ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ )					
	2003			2004		
	Grain	Straw	Total	Grain	Straw	Total
<b>Varieties</b>						
RGC-1003	7.81	4.74	12.55	10.17	5.90	16.07
HGS-563	8.30	4.82	13.12	10.69	6.10	16.79
HGS-365	8.93	5.14	14.07	11.40	6.36	17.76
SEm $\pm$	0.18	0.10	0.26	0.20	0.12	0.30
CD (P=0.05)	0.54	0.30	0.79	0.60	0.37	0.89
<b>Levels of sulphur (<math>\text{kg ha}^{-1}</math>)</b>						
0	7.68	4.39	12.07	9.85	5.47	15.32
30	8.79	5.16	13.95	11.32	6.44	17.76
60	8.57	5.15	13.72	11.10	6.45	17.55
SEm $\pm$	0.18	0.10	0.26	0.20	0.12	0.30
CD (P=0.05)	0.54	0.30	0.79	0.60	0.37	0.89
<b>Levels of phosphorus (<math>\text{kg P}_2\text{O}_5\text{ha}^{-1}</math>)</b>						
0	6.43	3.77	10.20	8.66	4.98	13.64
20	8.12	4.68	12.80	10.45	5.92	16.37
40	9.60	5.44	15.04	12.04	6.64	18.68
60	9.23	5.71	14.94	11.87	6.94	18.81
SEm $\pm$	0.14	0.09	0.21	0.16	0.11	0.27
CD (P=0.05)	0.39	0.24	0.60	0.46	0.32	0.77

Clusterbean variety HGS-365 had remarkable more uptake of sulphur by grain, straw and total produce than HGS-563 and RGC-1003 [Table 3]. The application of sulphur at  $30 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  resulted in maximum uptake of sulphur and being at par with  $60 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$  but both rates gave significantly higher uptake of sulphur than the control by grain, straw and total produce<sup>[1,3,4,6]</sup>. The uptake of

sulphur improved with every increase in the rate of phosphorus application upto  $40 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$  by grain, straw and total produce. Sulphur content and grain and straw production might be held responsible for increasing sulphur uptake with increasing phosphorus application upto  $40 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$  as also reported by other investigators in the past [2,4,6,7] .

**Table 3 Uptake of Sulphur (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in grain and straw and in total produce as influenced by varieties and levels of sulphur and phosphorus fertilization**

Treatments	Sulphur uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
	2003			2004		
	Grain	Straw	Total	Grain	Straw	Total
<b>Varieties</b>						
RGC-1003	2.172	2.583	4.755	2.941	3.368	6.309
HGS-563	2.267	2.649	4.917	3.084	3.430	6.514
HGS-365	2.446	2.185	5.261	3.302	3.554	6.856
SEm ±	0.040	0.044	0.077	0.047	0.066	0.092
CD (P=0.05)	0.120	0.130	0.230	0.141	0.197	0.275
<b>Levels of sulphur (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>						
0	2.095	2.539	4.634	2.889	3.256	6.145
30	2.420	2.777	5.197	3.253	3.531	6.785
60	2.370	2.731	5.101	3.185	3.565	6.750
SEm ±	0.040	0.044	0.077	0.047	0.066	0.092
CD (P=0.05)	0.120	0.130	0.230	0.141	0.197	0.275
<b>Levels of phosphorus (kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>						
0	1.821	2.212	4.033	2.571	3.055	5.626
20	2.240	2.591	4.831	3.036	3.384	6.419
40	2.610	2.922	5.532	3.442	3.617	7.060
60	2.509	3.005	5.514	3.388	6.746	7.134
SEm ±	0.037	0.039	0.068	0.043	0.061	0.085
CD (P=0.05)	0.105	0.112	0.191	0.120	0.172	0.240

## References

1. Bhadoria, R.B.S. , Tomar, R.A.S Khan, H. and Sharma, M.K. (1997). Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and quality of clusterbean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 42 (1) : 131-134.
2. Gokhale, D.N., Kanade, A.G., Karanjikar, P.N. and Patil, V.D. (2005). Effect of sources and levels of sulphur on seed yield, quality and Sulphur uptake by soybean (*Glycinmax*L). *Oilseeds Research*, 22 (1) 192-193.
3. Kumawat, P.D. and Khangarot, S.S (2002). Response of sulphur, phosphorus and Rhizobium inoculation on content and uptake of nutrients of clusterbean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). *Environment and Ecology*, 20 (4): 803-805.
4. Sharma, O.P. and Singh, G.D. (2004). Effect of sulphur and growth substances on yield, quality and nutrient uptake of clusterbean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). *Environment and Ecology*, 22 (4): 746-748.
5. Singh, R.V. and Singh, R.R. (1990). Uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) as influenced by

- nitrogen with and without seeds inoculation, phosphorus and seeding rates under rainfed condition. *Annals of Agriculture Research*, 11 (3&4): 329-332.
6. Shriramchandrasekharan, M.V. and Muthukkaruppan, S.M. (2004). Effect of sulphur and Rhizobium on biological nitrogen fixation, nutrient uptake and seed yield of soybean. *Journal of Eco- Biology*, 16 (3): 235-236.
  7. Singh, S.K. and Chauhan, S.P.S. (2015). Effect of sulphur and phosphorus fertilization on the yield attributes and yield of clusterbean varieties under Dry land conditions. *TECHNOFAME*, 4 (2): 38- 44.
  8. Yadav, B.D., Agarwal, S.K. and faroda, A.S. (1991). Dry matter accumulation and nutrient uptake in clusterbean as affected by row spacing and fertilizer application. *Forage Research*, 17(1):39-44.